arXiv:1410.6109v2 [math.OA] 27 Oct 2014

ERGODIC EXTENSIONS AND HILBERT MODULES ASSOCIATED TO ENDOMORPHISMS OF MASAS

EVGENIOS T.A. KAKARIADIS AND JUSTIN R. PETERS

Abstract. We show that a class of ergodic transformations on a probability measure space (X, μ) extends to a representation of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$ that is both implemented by a Cuntz family and ergodic. This class contains several known examples, which are unified in this work.

During the analysis of the existence and uniqueness of such a Cuntz family we give several results of individual interest. Most notably we prove a decomposition of X for N-to-one local homeomorphisms that is connected to the orthonormal basis of Hilbert modules. We remark that the trivial Hilbert module of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ does not have a well-defined Hilbert module basis (moreover that it is unitarily equivalent to the module sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_N$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue with the examination of representations of dynamical systems implemented by Cuntz families [**6**]. We are strongly motivated by the recent work and aspect of Courtney, Muhly and Schmidt [**2**] in which the general theory of Hilbert modules is used as an alternate route to examine specific examples, in association with earlier work of Laca [**7**] where ergodic transformations of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ in general are examined. In contrast to our previous work [**6**], which is directed to the abstract operator algebraic point of view, here we analyze a class of particular transformations $\varphi\colon X \to X$ of a probability measure space (X, μ).

There are several well known examples (including the backward shift on infinite words on N symbols, and finite Blaschke products with N factors) where such transformations yield a representation $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X, \mu) \to L^\infty(X, \mu)$ that is implemented by a Cuntz family. That is, there is a Cuntz family $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$ such that

$$\alpha(M_f) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i M_f S_i^*,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47C15, 37A55, 46L55, 46C10.

Key words and phrases. Maximal abelian selfadjoint algebras, ergodic transformations, Hilbert modules.

The second author acknowledges partial support from the National Science Foundation, DMS-0750986.

where $M_f \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ is the multiplication operator associated to $f \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, and therefore α extends to a representation α_S of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$. Our goal here is two-fold. First we give conditions under which the transformation $\varphi\colon X \to X$ defines such a representation $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu)$ (Proposition 2.2). Secondly we show that ergodicity of $\varphi\colon X \to X$ (as a transformation of a probability measure space) implies ergodicity of the induced $\alpha_S\colon \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu)) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ (as a representation of a von Neumann algebra) (Theorem 3.2).

The existence of a Cuntz family implementing $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu)$ is connected to a decomposition of the space X based on a maximal family of sets (Lemma 3.1). There is a question whether different decompositions yield the same extension. We show that the answer to this question is connected to the existence of an orthonormal basis of a suitable W*-module (Proposition 4.5). As a consequence we obtain a complete invariant on Stacey's multiplicity n crossed products [**11**] (Corollary 4.6).

A useful tool for the study of the endomorphism $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu)$ is the *intertwining Hilbert module* $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ introduced in section 4. Under certain conditions on the transformation $\varphi\colon X \to X$ there is a transfer operator; our setting encompasses several cases, including that described in [**2**, Theorem 5.2]. We conclude by showing that the existence of a basis for the Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ is equivalent to the existence of a Cuntz family implementing $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu)$, and in turn is equivalent to the existence of a basis for $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ viewed as a Hilbert module, where the inner product is defined by the transfer operator (Theorem 5.2).

Hilbert modules may not have a well defined (up to unitary equivalence) basis, in contrast to Hilbert spaces. Therefore it is central for (and non-trivial in) our analysis to achieve a well defined basis. For example $\mathcal{O}_2$ is unitarily equivalent to $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{O}_2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as Hilbert modules over $\mathcal{O}_2$ (Remark 4.2). This phenomenon is also connected to the multiplicity of multivariable C*-dynamics [**5**] and produces a fascinating obstacle (so far) for the classification of these objects. For encountering this problem, Gipson [**4**] develops the notion of the invariant basis number for C*-algebras, along with an in-depth analysis of C*-algebras that do (or do not) attain such a number.

2. Preliminaries

Let us begin with a general comment on $*$-endomorphisms α_S of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ that are implemented by a Cuntz family $\{S_1,\dots,S_N\}$, i.e.,

$$\alpha_S(T) = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i T S_i^*, \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

We write $\mathcal{O}_N = \mathrm{C}^*(S_1,\dots,S_N)$ for the Cuntz algebra [**1**] inside $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Both α_S and the restriction $\alpha_S|_{\mathcal{O}_N}$ of α_S to $\mathcal{O}_N$ are injective, but they are not

onto for $N > 1$. Indeed, if there is a $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\alpha_S(T) = 0$, then

$$T = S_1^* S_1 T S_1^* S_1 = S_1^* \alpha_S(T) S_1 = 0.$$

Furthermore if there is a $T \in \mathcal{O}_N$ such that $\alpha_S(T) = S_1$, then

$$I = S_1^* S_1 = S_1^* \alpha_S(T) = T S_1^*,$$

hence S_1 is a unitary, which holds if and only if $N = 1$.

Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be compact, Hausdorff measure spaces, endowed with their Borel structure. Then a continuous map $\varphi\colon (X, \mu) \to (Y, \nu)$ is a Borel homomorphism. However the mapping

$$\alpha\colon (L^\infty(Y, \nu), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \to (L^\infty(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_\infty) : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the essential sup-norm, may not even be well defined. In particular one can show that α is well-defined if and only if $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \nu$ (i.e., φ^{-1} *preserves the* ν*-null sets*). When $\varphi(Y)$ is in addition a Borel set, then α is well-defined and injective if and only if $\nu(\varphi(Y)^c) = 0$ (i.e., φ is *almost onto* X) and $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \sim \nu$.

In general a Borel map $\varphi\colon X \to Y$ is said to preserve the ν-null sets if $\nu \circ \varphi \ll \mu$. In this case $\nu \ll \mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $\varphi(E)$ is Borel for every Borel subset E of X. Indeed for the latter, observe that a Borel subset E of X is the union of an F_σ set A and a μ-null set N. Then $\varphi(N)$ is a ν-null set and compactness of X implies that A is σ-compact hence $\varphi(A)$ is Borel; thus $\varphi(E)$ is measurable.

Recall that if $\varphi\colon X \to Y$ is a Borel map, then a mapping $\psi\colon \varphi(X) \to X$ is called *a Borel* (*cross*) *section of* φ if ψ is a Borel map and $\varphi \circ \psi = \mathrm{id}_{\varphi(X)}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi\colon X \to Y$ be an onto map, such that φ and φ^{-1} preserve the null sets, and let $\psi\colon Y \to X$ be a Borel section of φ. Then $X_0 := \psi(Y)$ is Borel and there is an isometry $S\colon L^2(Y, \nu) \to L^2(X, \mu)$ such that*

$$M_{f \circ \varphi}|_{L^2(X_0, \nu|_{X_0})} = S M_f S^* \text{ for all } f \in L^\infty(Y, \nu).$$

Proof. Observe that ψ preserves the null sets (which implies that X_0 is Borel). Since $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \nu$ then $\mu \ll \nu \circ \varphi$. For a null set $E \subseteq Y$ we get that $\nu \circ \varphi(\psi(E)) = \nu(E) = 0$, thus $\mu \circ \psi(E) = 0$. Note that since X_0 is Borel then $\varphi|_{X_0}$ is a Borel isomorphism with $\psi\colon Y \to X_0$ as an inverse.

On the other hand if $\mu \circ \psi(E) = 0$ then $\nu(E) = \nu \circ \varphi(\psi(E)) = 0$ since φ preserves the null sets. Therefore ν is equivalent to $\mu \circ \psi = \mu|_{X_0} \circ \psi$, and the Radon-Nykodim derivative $u = d(\mu|_{X_0} \circ \psi)/d\nu$ is defined. It is a standard fact that the operator $S_0^*\colon L^2(X_0, \mu|_{X_0}) \to L^2(Y, \nu)$ defined by

$$S_0^*(g) = g \circ \psi \cdot u^{1/2}, \text{ for all } g \in L^2(X_0, \mu|_{X_0}),$$

is a unitary such that

$$M_{f \circ \varphi}|_{L^2(X_0, \nu|_{X_0})} = S_0 M_f S_0^* \text{ for all } f \in L^\infty(Y, \nu).$$

Extend S_0^* trivially to S^* on $L^2(X, \mu) = L^2(X_0, \mu|_{X_0}) \oplus L^2(X^c, \mu|_{X_0^c})$. Then the adjoint S of S^* is an isometry and gives the required equation. ∎

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\varphi\colon X \to Y$ be an onto map, such that φ and φ^{-1} preserve the null sets. Suppose that there is a family $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\}$ of N Borel sections of φ such that $\psi_i(Y) \cap \psi_j(Y) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\cup_i \psi(Y)$ is almost equal to X. Then there is a Cuntz family that implements α.*

Proof. For every $i = 1, \dots, N$ let $X_i = \psi_i(Y)$ and let S_i be constructed as above on $\mathcal{X}_i = L^2(X_i, \mu|_{X_i})$. Note that $\mathcal{X}_i \perp \mathcal{X}_j$ for $i \neq j$, thus if $X_0 = \cup_i X_i$ and $\mathcal{X}_0 = \oplus_i \mathcal{X}_i$ we get $M_{\mathbf{1}|_{X_i}} = M_{\alpha(\mathbf{1})}|_{\mathcal{X}_i} = S_i M_{\mathbf{1}} S_i^* = S_i S_i^*$, therefore

$$M_{\alpha(f)}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{\alpha(f)}|_{\mathcal{X}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i M_f S_i^*.$$

Since $X = \cup_i X_i$ a.e. we obtain that $I_{\mathcal{X}_0} = M_{\mathbf{1}}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{\mathbf{1}}|_{\mathcal{X}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i S_i^*$. Finally X_0 is almost equal to X, hence $L^2(X, \nu) = \mathcal{X}_0$ and the proof is complete. ∎

3. Ergodic Extensions

Let (X, μ) be a probability measure space such that X is a compact, Hausdorff space and μ is a regular Borel measure on X. Then a measure preserving map $\varphi\colon X \to X$ induces an injective $*$-homomorphism $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X, \mu) \to L^\infty(X, \mu)$. We are interested in the case where α is implemented by a Cuntz family $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$. In this case α extends to an injective $*$-endomorphism α_S of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X, \mu))$. A natural question is whether ergodicity (of the mapping) φ implies ergodicity (of the $*$-endomorphism) α_S. Recall that α_S is *ergodic* if the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_S} := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \alpha_S(T) = T\}$ is trivial. We aim to give a positive answer for a class of ergodic mappings that includes central examples.

Recall that a map $\varphi\colon X \to X$ is called a *local homeomorphism* if for every point $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U such that $\varphi|_U$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. Clearly, local homeomorphisms are continuous and open. We begin with a decomposition lemma that fits in our study.

Lemma 3.1. *Let φ be a local homeomorphism of a compact Hausdorff space X such that $|\varphi^{-1}(x)| = N > 1$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exist pairwise disjoint open subsets $U_1, \dots, U_N$ such that*

(1) $\varphi|_{U_i}$ is one-to-one for all $i = 1, \dots, n$;
(2) $\varphi(U_i) = \varphi(U_j)$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots N$;
(3) $X = \cup_{i=1}^N (U_i \cup \partial U_i)$;
(4) $X = \varphi(U_i) \cup \partial\varphi(U_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Moreover, $\varphi(\partial U_i) \subseteq \partial\varphi(U_i)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\partial\varphi(U_i)) = \cup_{j=1}^N \partial U_j$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Proof. First let us construct a family that satisfies (1) and (2). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection that consists of $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\}$ such that U_i are open, disjoint, $\varphi|_{U_i}$ is one-to-one for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $\varphi(U_i) = \varphi(U_j)$.

Claim. The collection $\mathcal{F}$ is non-empty.

Proof of Claim. Let a $y \in X$ and suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_N$ are the N pre-images of y. Let V_i be a neighborhood of x_i such that $\varphi|_{V_i}$ is one-to-one. Since X is a Hausdorff space we can choose V_i be disjoint. Moreover $\varphi(U_i)$ are open sets, since φ is an open map. Let $V = \cap_{i=1}^N \varphi(V_i)$ which is open and let $U_i = \varphi^{-1}(V) \cap V_i$. Then the U_i are disjoint and $\varphi|_{U_i}$ is one-to-one, since the U_i are subsets of the V_i. In addition

$$\varphi(U_i) = \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}(V) \cap \varphi(V_i) = V \cap \varphi(V_i) = V,$$

and the proof of the claim is complete.

The collection $\mathcal{F}$ is endowed with the partial order "$\leq$" such that

$$\{U_1, \dots, U_N\} \leq \{V_1, \dots, V_N\} \text{ if } U_i \subseteq V_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

after perhaps a re-ordering. Let $\mathfrak{C} = \big\{\{U_1^k, \dots, U_N^k\} \mid k \in I\big\}$, be a chain in $\mathcal{F}$, with the understanding that when $\{U_1^k, \dots, U_N^k\} \leq \{U_1^l, \dots, U_N^l\}$ then $U_i^k \subseteq U_i^l$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then the element $\{\cup_k U_1^k, \dots, \cup_k U_N^k\}$ is an upper bound for $\mathfrak{C}$ inside $\mathcal{F}$. Indeed, what suffices to prove is that the $\cup_k U_i^k$ are disjoint (with respect to the indices i). If there were an x in two such unions then there would be some $k, l \in I$ such that $x \in U_i^k \cap U_j^l$. Without loss of generality assume that $\{U_1^k, \dots, U_N^k\} \leq \{U_1^l, \dots, U_N^l\}$ therefore $x \in U_i^k \cap U_j^l \subseteq U_i^l \cap U_j^l = \emptyset$ which is absurd. Then the collection $\mathcal{F}$ has a maximal element by Zorn's Lemma. From now on fix this maximal element be $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\}$. By definition the sets $U_1, \dots, U_N$ satisfy the properties (1) and (2) of the statement.

Secondly we prove that $X = \varphi(U_i) \cup \partial\varphi(U_i)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, where $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\}$ is the maximal family constructed above. Since $X \setminus \varphi(U_i)$ is closed it suffices to show that it has empty interior. To this end let V be an open neighborhood of some $y \in \text{int}(X \setminus \varphi(U_i))$ with N pre-images $x_1, \dots, x_N$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ is open, contains the x_i and $\varphi^{-1}(V) \cap (\cup_{i=1}^N U_i) = \emptyset$. Indeed, if there was a $z \in \varphi^{-1}(V) \cap (\cup_{i=1}^N U_i)$, then $\varphi(z) = V \cap \varphi(U_i) = \emptyset$, which is absurd. As in the proof of the claim above, we can find neighborhoods V_i of x_i inside $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ such that they are disjoint, $\varphi|_{V_i}$ is one-to-one and $\varphi(V_i) = V$, perhaps by passing to a sub-neighborhood of y. Therefore the family $\{U_1 \cup V_1, \dots, U_N \cup V_N\}$ is in $\mathcal{F}$, which contradicts to the maximality of $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\}$.

Thirdly, we show that $X = \cup_{i=1}^N (U_i \cup \partial U_i)$. It suffices to show that the closed set $X \setminus \big(\cup_{i=1}^N U_i\big)$ has empty interior. Indeed, in this case it will coincide with its boundary, hence with $\partial\left(\cup_{i=1}^N U_i\right)$. Since the U_i are open and disjoint we get that this boundary will be $\cup_{i=1}^N \partial(U_i)$. To this end, let U be an open neighborhood of an element x in the interior of $X \setminus \big(\cup_{i=1}^N (U_i \cup \partial U_i)\big)$. If there were an $x' \in U$ such that $\varphi(x') \in \varphi(U_i)$, then $\varphi(x')$ would have $N+1$ pre-images which is a contradiction. Indeed, recall that $\varphi(U_i) = \varphi(U_j)$ and $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$. Therefore $\varphi(U)$ is contained in the interior of $X \setminus \varphi(U_i)$. But $X \setminus \varphi(U_i)$ has empty interior, which gives the contradiction.

Finally, let $x \in \partial U_i$. If $\varphi(x) \in \varphi(U_i)$ then the element $\varphi(x)$ would have $N+1$ pre-images, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\varphi(\partial U_i) \subseteq X \setminus \varphi(U_i) = \partial\varphi(U_i)$. Note also that by construction we obtain

$$\varphi^{-1}(\partial\varphi(U_i)) = \varphi^{-1}(X \setminus \varphi(U_i)) = X \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{N} U_j = \cup_{j=1}^{N} U_j,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, and the proof of the lemma is complete. ∎

Let φ be as in Lemma 3.1 such that φ and φ^{-1} preserve the null sets. If $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is the family satisfying the properties of Lemma 3.1 and

$$\partial U_i \text{ (equivalently } \varphi(\partial U_i)) \text{ are null sets}$$

then the $*$-endomorphism $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu) : f \to f \circ \varphi$ is implemented by a Cuntz family. Indeed, let $X_0 = \cup_{i=1}^{N} U_i$ and $Y_0 = \varphi(U_i)$. Then $\varphi_0 := \varphi|_{X_0}$ has N Borel sections ψ_i, for $i = 1, \dots, N$, with

$$\psi_i = [\varphi|_{U_i}]^{-1}\colon Y_0 \to X_0.$$

Moreover φ_0 and φ_0^{-1} preserve the null sets. By Proposition 2.2 there is a Cuntz family $\{S_i\}$ with

$$S_i\colon L^2(Y_0, \mu|_{Y_0}) \to L^2(X_0, \mu|_{X_0})$$

that implements the representation

$$L^\infty(X_0, \mu|_{X_0}) \ni f \mapsto f \circ \varphi_0 \in L^\infty(Y_0, \mu|_{Y_0}).$$

Since X_0 and Y_0 are almost equal to X then the family $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ implements α.

Given a decomposition of X as above and a finite word $\boldsymbol{i} = i_1 \dots i_k$ in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ we can define the Borel sets

$$U_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \{x \in X \mid x \in U_{i_1}, \dots, \varphi^{k-1} \in U_{i_k}\}.$$

This is extended to infinite words $\boldsymbol{i} = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k \dots$ with the understanding that $U_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \cap_k U_{i_1 \dots i_k}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, μ, φ) be a dynamical system such that:*

1. *φ is a local homeomorphism of X such that each point of X has $N > 1$ pre-images;*
2. *$\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a decomposition of X as in Lemma 3.1 such that the ∂U_i are null sets;*
3. *φ is ergodic and preserves the null sets;*
4. *the sets $U_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, for $\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{F}_N^+$ generate the σ-algebra up to sets of measure zero.*

Then $\alpha\colon M_f \mapsto M_{f\circ\varphi}$ admits an extension α_S to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,m))$ which is ergodic. Furthermore α_S defines (by restriction) an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$.

Proof. Under these assumptions there is a Cuntz family $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ that implements α. Let $\alpha_S(T) = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i T S_i^*$ be the extension of α to $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$. Since α_S is a weak$*$-continuous endomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_S} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \alpha_S(T) = T\}$ is a von Neumann algebra. Fix a projection $P \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_S}$. Then $\alpha_S(P) = P$ implies that $S_i P = P S_i$, and $S_i^* P = P S_i^*$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. In particular P commutes with the range projections of the S_i and the products of the S_i. But these projections are the characteristic functions of the sets $U_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, for the words $\boldsymbol{i}$ on the symbols $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Since the sets $U_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ generate the σ-algebra up to null sets, the linear span of these projections is weak*-dense in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. It follows that P is in the MASA $L^\infty(X,\mu)$, hence $P = \chi_E$ for a measurable set E. However

$$M_{\chi_E} = P = \alpha_S(P) = \alpha(P) = M_{\chi_E \circ \varphi}$$

and ergodicity of φ implies that E is either X or $\emptyset$. Thus $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_S} = \mathbb{C}I$. The second part of the theorem follows by the comments after [**7**, Definition 3.2]. ∎

We give examples of dynamical systems which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.2.

Examples 3.3. The first example is the canonical Cuntz-Krieger example of a dynamical system associated with Cuntz isometries. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$X = \Pi_{k=1}^\infty \{1, \dots, N\}_k \text{ with measure } \mu = \Pi_{k=1}^\infty \mu_k$$

where each $\mu_k = \mu_j$ for all j, k such that $\mu_k(A) = |A|/N$ for all $A \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$. If we consider X as a compact abelian group, with "odometer" addition, then μ is the Haar measure on X. Let φ be the shift map $\varphi(i_1, i_2, \dots) = (i_2, i_3, \dots)$ which is a N-to-one local homeomorphism. Then φ is ergodic and the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for the cylinder sets $U_i := \{(i_1, i_2, \dots) \mid i_1 = i\}$ (which are clopen so that $\partial U_i = \emptyset$).

A second example arises when X is the circle $\mathbb{T}$, μ is Lebesgue measure, and φ is a finite Blaschke product with $N > 1$ factors and zero Denjoy-Wolf fixed point (i.e., at least one of the Blaschke factors is z). Then φ is ergodic and the sets U_i are arcs on the circle, so the condition $\mu(\partial U_i) = 0$ is satisfied. This example is considered in [**2**].

In view of Theorem 3.2 one can ask whether the σ-algebra generated by the sets $U_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ with $\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{F}_N^+$ always generates the full σ-algebra of measurable sets, up to measure zero. This is not true, as the following example shows.

Example 3.4. Let (X, μ, φ) be the canonical Cuntz-Krieger example as above. Also let τ be an irrational rotation on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ with Lebesgue measure. Set $Y = X \times \mathbb{T}$, $\sigma(x, z) = (\varphi(x), \tau(z))$ and $\nu = \mu \times \lambda$. Then (Y, ν, σ) is ergodic as the product of the mixing shift map with the ergodic irrational rotation. If $U_i = \{(i_1, i_2, \dots) \in X \mid i_1 = i\}$ let $V_i = U_i \times [0, 1]$. Then the V_i are as in Lemma 3.1, but the $V_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ with $\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{F}_N^+$ do not suffice to generate the σ-algebra of measurable sets up to measure zero.

4. Uniqueness of the extension

The reader is referred to the work of Paschke [**10**] for an introduction to W*-modules and to [**8, 9**] for the general theory of C*-modules.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Hilbert module over a unital C*-algebra A. A subset $\{\xi_1, \dots \xi_N\}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be an *orthonormal basis for* $\mathcal{M}$ if $\xi_i \in \mathcal{M}$, $\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} 1_A$ and

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_i \cdot \langle \xi_i, \xi \rangle \,, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

In the case where $N = \infty$ the sum is understood as norm-convergent.

As a consequence $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{\xi_i, \xi_i} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with the understanding that the sum is convergent in the strong topology when $N = \infty$. When A is non-unital, we define the basis of $\mathcal{M}$ by using the unitization $A^1 = A + \mathbb{C}$. Indeed we can extend the right action to A^1 by

$$\xi \cdot (a + \lambda) = \xi \cdot a + \lambda \xi,$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the basis of $\mathcal{M}$ over A is defined as the basis of $\mathcal{M}$ over A^1. This is just to ensure that the formula $\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} 1_{A^1}$ makes sense.

Remark 4.2. In general, a Hilbert module may not have an orthonormal basis. However, W*-modules have a basis $\{\xi_i\}$ such that $\langle \xi_i, \xi_i \rangle$ is a projection [**10**, Theorem 3.12]. Moreover, the size of an orthonormal basis is not well defined, meaning that there may be bases $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{t_j\}_{j \in J}$ with $|I| \neq |J|$. The reason is that the uniqueness of the linear combinations is not guaranteed. For a counterexample let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_2$ be the trivial Hilbert module over itself, where $\mathcal{O}_2$ is the Cuntz algebra on two generators, say s_1 and s_2. Then the sets $\{1_{\mathcal{O}_2}\}$ and $\{s_1, s_2\}$ are both bases for the Hilbert module. Indeed for $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_2$ we trivially have that $\xi = 1_{\mathcal{O}_2} \cdot \langle 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}, \xi \rangle$, and that

$$\xi = (s_1 s_1^* + s_2 s_2^*)\xi = s_1 \cdot \langle s_1, \xi \rangle + s_2 \cdot \langle s_2, \xi \rangle \,,$$

since $s_1 s_1^* + s_2 s_2^* = 1_{\mathcal{O}_2}$.

Similarly, one can show that the trivial Hilbert module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_2$ over $\mathcal{O}_2$ is unitarily equivalent to the (interior) direct sum $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}_2$ by the unitary $U = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Inductively we get that $\mathcal{M}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4.3. Nevertheless, when the Hilbert module is over a stably finite C*-algebra A then the size is unique. Indeed, let $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\eta_j\}_{j \in J}$ be two orthonormal bases of such a Hilbert module $\mathcal{M}$ and form the rectangular matrix $U = [\langle \xi_i, \eta_j \rangle]$. Then, the (i, j)-entry of the $|I| \times |J|$ matrix UU^* is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{|J|} \langle \xi_i, \eta_k \rangle \langle \eta_k, \xi_j \rangle = \sum_{k} \langle \xi_i, \eta_k \langle \eta_k, \xi_j \rangle \rangle = \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} 1_A.$$

Analogous computations for U^*U show that U is a unitary in $M_{|I|,|J|}(A)$. Since A is stably finite we get that $|I| = |J|$. In fact we get the following formula

$$[\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_N] = [\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N] [U_{ij}],$$

and the unitary U is in $M_N(A)$. In contrast to **[7]** the unitary U may not be in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\alpha\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu)$ be a *-homomorphism and let the linear space

$$\mathcal{E}(X,\mu) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu)) \mid Ta = \alpha(a)T, \text{ for all } a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ becomes a Hilbert module over $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ by defining

$$S \cdot a := Sa \quad \text{and} \quad \langle S, T\rangle := S^*T$$

for all $a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and $S, T \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$. Indeed, for $b \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ we obtain

$$(Sa)b = Sab = Sba = (Sb)a = (\alpha(b)S)a = \alpha(b)(Sa),$$

thus $Sa \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$. Also,

$$\langle S, T\rangle \cdot b = (S^*T)b = S^*Tb = S^*\alpha(b)T = bS^*T = b \cdot \langle S, T\rangle,$$

for all $b \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, which implies that $\langle S, T\rangle \in L^\infty(X,\mu)' = L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Thus the inner product and the right action are well defined and routine calculations show that $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ is a Hilbert module over $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. In particular the Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ becomes a W*-correspondence over $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ by defining

$$a \cdot S = \alpha(a)S, \text{ for all } a \in L^\infty(X,\mu) \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu).$$

Indeed, for $b \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ we obtain that

$$(a \cdot S)b = \alpha(a)Sb = \alpha(a)\alpha(b)S = \alpha(b)\alpha(a)S = \alpha(b)(a \cdot S),$$

hence $a \cdot S \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$.

It is evident that $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ is a weak*-closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$. Hence as a self-dual W*-correspondence it receives a basis $\{S_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ such that $\langle S_i, S_j\rangle = S_i^*S_j = 0$ when $i \neq j$, $\langle S_i, S_i\rangle = S_i^*S_i$ is a projection in $L^\infty(X,\mu)$, and $T = \sum_i S_iS_i^*T$, for all $T \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ **[10**, Theorem 3.12**]**.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a basis for $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ with $N < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$;
(2) $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a Cuntz family that implements α of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$.

Proof. For convenience we write $I \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ also for the unit of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Since $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a basis we obtain $I = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_{S_i,S_i} = S_iS_i^*$. Moreover $S_i \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ thus $S_ia = \alpha(a)S_i$ for all $a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^N S_iaS_i^* = \alpha(a)\sum_{i=1}^N S_iS_i^* = \alpha(a).$$

On the other hand if $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a Cuntz family, then $\langle S_i, S_j\rangle = \delta_{ij} I$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N S_i S_i^* = I$, since $\alpha(I) = I$ for the unit $I \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. If $\alpha(a) = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i a S_i^*$, then $S_i a = \alpha(a) S_i$ for all $a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Thus, $S_i \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$. For $T \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ set $a_i = \langle S_i, T\rangle = S_i^* T$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N S_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i S_i^* T = T,$$

and the proof is complete. ∎

Let $\{S_1, \dots, S_N\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$, and let the extension α_S of α be given by

$$\alpha_S \colon \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu)) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu)) : R \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N S_i R S_i^*.$$

We can then define the linear space

$$\mathcal{H}_S := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu)) \mid TR = \alpha_S(R)T \text{ for all } R \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))\}.$$

It becomes a Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

$$\langle T_1, T_2\rangle = T_1^* T_2, \text{ for all } T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{H}_S.$$

Indeed it is easy to check that $\langle T_1, T_2\rangle \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))' = \mathbb{C}$. Moreover it has dimension N and the Cuntz family $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is in $\mathcal{H}_S$. The proof is the same as in Remark 4.2 taking into account that $\alpha_S(R) S_j = S_j R$, for all $R \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$. These results were established by Laca [**7**].

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be two orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) The unitary U that induces a pairing of the bases is in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$;
(2) The extensions α_S and α_Q in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ coincide.

Proof. For convenience we write $I \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ also for the unit of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$.
[(1) ⇒ (2)]: We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_Q(R) = \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i R Q_i^* &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N S_j \langle S_j, Q_i\rangle R \langle Q_i, S_k\rangle S_k^* \\
&= \sum_{k,j=1}^N S_j R \sum_{i=1}^N \langle S_j, Q_i\rangle \langle Q_i, S_k\rangle S_k^* \\
&= \sum_{k,j=1}^N S_j R \delta_{j,k} S_k^* = \sum_{k=1}^N S_k R S_k^* = \alpha_S(R),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^N \langle S_j, Q_i\rangle \langle Q_i, S_k\rangle$ is the (j,k)-entry of $UU^* = I$, and we have used that the entry $\langle S_j, Q_i\rangle$ of U is in $\mathbb{C}$.

[(2) ⇒ (1)]: If $\alpha_Q = \alpha_S$ then by definition $\mathcal{H}_S = \mathcal{H}_Q$. Thus

$$S_i^* Q_k R = S_i^* \alpha_Q(R) Q_k = S_i^* \alpha_S(R) Q_k = R S_i^* Q_k$$

for all $R \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$, hence $S_i^* Q_k \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))' = \mathbb{C}$. ∎

As a consequence we have a complete invariant for the multiplicity n crossed products on $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ [**11**]. Recall that given a $*$-endomorphism $\alpha\colon A \to A$ of a C*-algebra A then *the multiplicity n crossed product* $A \times_\alpha^n \mathbb{N}$ is the enveloping C*-algebra generated by $\pi(A)$ and a Toeplitz-Cuntz family $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that π is a non-degenerate representation of A, and $\pi(\alpha(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i \pi(a) Q_i^*$, for all $a \in A$. When α is unital then non-degeneracy of π is redundant and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ can be considered to be a Cuntz family [**6**, Section 3 and Proposition 3.1]. In [**6**, Subsection 3.3] we introduced the semicrossed product $A \times_\alpha \mathcal{T}_n^+$ as the non-involutive subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha^n \mathbb{N}$ generated by $\pi(A)$ and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let α be a unital weak*-continuous isometric endomorphism of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and suppose that there is a representation $(\mathrm{id}, \{S_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ of Stacey's crossed product $L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha^n \mathbb{N}$ on $L^2(X,\mu)$. Then the following are equivalent*

1. *$L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha^n \mathbb{N} \simeq L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha^m \mathbb{N}$ via a $*$-isomorphism that fixes $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ elementwise;*
2. *There is a representation $(\mathrm{id}, \{Q_i\}_{i=1}^m)$ of $L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha^m \mathbb{N}$ acting on $L^2(X,\mu)$;*
3. *$n = m$;*
4. *$L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha \mathcal{T}_n^+ \simeq L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha \mathcal{T}_m^+$ via a completely isometric isomorphism that fixes $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ elementwise.*

Proof. The fact that α is an isometric endomorphism of a C*-algebra implies that it is a $*$-homomorphism of the C*-algebra $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and the multiplicity n crossed products are well defined. The implication [(3) ⇒ (4)] is immediate.
[(4) ⇒ (1)]: By [**6**, Theorem 3.13] the C*-algebra $L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha^n \mathbb{N}$ is the C*-envelope of $L^\infty(X,\mu) \times_\alpha \mathcal{T}_n^+$, thus the completely isometric isomorphism extends to a $*$-isomorphism of the corresponding C*-algebras.
[(1) ⇒ (2)]: If Φ is the $*$-isomorphism, let $Q_i := \Phi(S_i)$.
[(2) ⇒ (3)]: Let $(\mathrm{id}, \{S_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(\mathrm{id}, \{Q_i\}_{i=1}^m)$ be two such representations. Then α is implemented by $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^m$, thus they define a basis for $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$. Therefore $n = m$ by Remark 4.3. ∎

5. Existence of a transfer operator

In general the mapping $C(X) \ni f \overset{C_\varphi}{\longmapsto} f \circ \varphi \in L^2(X,\mu)$ may not extend to an operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(X,\mu)$. However if

$$c_0 \|\xi\|_2 \leq \|C_\varphi \xi\|_2 \leq c_1 \|\xi\|_2, \text{ for all } \xi \in L^2(X,\mu),$$

then C_φ is an injective operator in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$, and φ^{-1} preserves the null sets. The map μ is called *φ-bounded* if there is a constant $K>0$ such that $\mu(\varphi(E))\leq K\mu(E)$, for all measurable sets $E\subset X$. In this case φ preserves also the μ-null sets.

Under these assumptions let the polar decomposition $C_\varphi = S_\varphi a_\varphi$. Then S_φ is an isometry and a_φ is invertible. We can check that by definition $C_\varphi a = \alpha(a)C_\varphi$ for all $a\in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, hence $C_\varphi\in\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$. Hence $a_\varphi^2 = C_\varphi^*C_\varphi\in L^\infty(X,\mu)'$ so $a_\varphi\in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Consequently, the isometry $S_\varphi = C_\varphi a_\varphi^{-1}$ is also in $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ and the mapping

$$\mathcal{L}\colon L^\infty(X,\mu)\to L^\infty(X,\mu) : a\mapsto S_\varphi^* a S_\varphi,$$

defines a *transfer operator of* α, i.e. $\mathcal{L}$ is positive and $a\mathcal{L}(b)=\mathcal{L}(\alpha(a)b)$ for all $a,b\in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Following Exel [**3**] let the semi-inner-product on the $L^\infty(X,\mu)$-module $L^\infty(X,\mu)_{\mathcal{L}}$ given by

$$\langle\eta,\xi\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}(\eta^*\xi),\ \text{and}\ \xi\cdot a = \xi\alpha(a),$$

for all $\eta,\xi,a\in L^\infty(X,\mu)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that μ is φ-bounded and that C_φ is a bounded below operator of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$. Then $L^\infty(X,\mu)_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a Hilbert module over $L^\infty(X,\mu)$, and as a vector space it coincides with $L^\infty(X,\mu)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ on the module $L^\infty(X,\mu)_{\mathcal{L}}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$.

First we show that there is a constant M such that $\|a\|\leq M\|aS_\varphi\|$ for every $a\in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Since $\|aS_\varphi\|^2 = \||a|S_\varphi\|^2$ and $\|a\| = \||a|\|$, it is enough to show that the relation $\|a\|\leq M\|aS_\varphi\|$ holds for all positive a in the norm-dense subspace of simple functions. To this end let $a=\sum_{i=1}^n d_i\chi_{E_i}$ where the sets E_i are disjoint, of positive measure, and $d_1>d_2>\cdots>d_n>0$; hence $\|a\|=d_1$. To compute the norm $\|aS_\varphi\|$ we let a act on unit vectors in the range of C_φ; equivalently with unit vectors in the range of S_φ. Let $E=E_1$ and $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(E)))}}\chi_{\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(E))}$. Then ξ is a unit vector in the range of S_φ. Also, the assumptions on S_φ and μ imply that $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(E)))\leq c_1^2\mu(\varphi(E))\leq c_1^2K\mu(E)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|aS_\varphi\|^2\geq\|a\xi\|_2^2 &= \int_X a^2|\xi|^2\,d\mu \geq \int_X d_1^2\chi_E|\xi|^2\,d\mu\\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(E)))}\int_X d_1^2\chi_E\,d\mu = \frac{\mu(E)}{m(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(E)))}d_1^2\geq\frac{1}{c_1^2K}d_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|a\|=d_1$, we have that $\|aS_\varphi\|\geq\frac{1}{c_1\sqrt{K}}\|a\|$ on a norm dense subspace.

By the above inequality we obtain the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\|\cdot\|$. Indeed we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{M^2}\|a\|^2\leq\||a|S_\varphi\|^2 &= \left\|S_\varphi^*|a|^2S_\varphi\right\| = \|\mathcal{L}(a^*a)\|\\ &= \|a\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 = \left\|S_\varphi^*a^*aS_\varphi\right\|\leq\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that S_φ is an isometry, and the proof is complete. ∎

The following theorem is the analogue of [**2**, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that μ is φ-bounded and that C_φ is a bounded below operator of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert module $L^\infty(X,\mu)_\mathcal{L}$;
(2) $\{\xi_i S_\varphi\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$;
(3) $\{\xi_i S_\varphi\}_{i=1}^n$ is a Cuntz family that implements α.

Proof. It will be convenient to denote $S_i := \xi_i S_\varphi$. Note that by definition $S_i \in \mathcal{E}(X,\mu)$ and recall that the equivalence [(2) ⇔ (3)] is Lemma 4.4. Moreover we write $I \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ also for the unit of $L^\infty(X,\mu)$. The constant function of $L^2(X,mu)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{1}$.
[(1) ⇒ (3)]: First we have that the S_i have orthogonal ranges, since

$$S_i^* S_j = S_\varphi^* \xi_i^* \xi_j S_\varphi = \mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* \xi_j) = \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle_\mathcal{L} = \delta_{ij} I.$$

Recall that the constant function $\mathbf{1}\colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a separating vector and $C_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \circ \varphi = \mathbf{1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_i a S_i^*(\mathbf{1}) &= S_i a S_i^* C_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \xi_i S_\varphi a S_\varphi^* \xi_i^* S_\varphi a_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \alpha(a)\xi_i S_\varphi \mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* \alpha(a_\varphi))(\mathbf{1}) = \alpha(a)\xi_i \alpha(\mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* \alpha(a_\varphi)))S_\varphi(\mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ defines a basis of $L^\infty(X,\mu)_\mathcal{L}$ we have that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \cdot \langle \xi_i, a \rangle_\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \alpha(\mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* a))$ for all $a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n S_i a S_i^*(\mathbf{1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(a)\xi_i \alpha(\mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* \alpha(a_\varphi)))S_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \alpha(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \alpha(\mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* \alpha(a_\varphi)))S_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \alpha(a)\alpha(a_\varphi) S_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \alpha(a) S_\varphi a_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \alpha(a) C_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \alpha(a)(\mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{1}$ is a separating vector we obtain that $\{S_i\}$ implements α.
[(3) ⇒ (1)]: Note that the functions ξ_i are orthonormal, since

$$\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\xi_i^* \xi_j) = C_\varphi^* \xi_i^* \xi_j C_\varphi = S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} I.$$

To see that the $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ span $L^\infty(X,\mu)_\mathcal{L}$, let an element $a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ with $\langle \xi_i, a \rangle_\mathcal{L} = 0$ for all i. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (aS_\varphi)^* &= S_\varphi^* a^* \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = S_\varphi^* a^* \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i S_\varphi S_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (S_\varphi^* a^* \xi_i S_\varphi) S_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle a, \xi_i \rangle_\mathcal{L} S_i^* = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $aS_\varphi = 0$. Hence $aC_\varphi = 0$, thus $a(\mathbf{1}) = aC_\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 0$. Since $\mathbf{1}$ is a separating vector we obtain that $a = 0$. ∎

Remark 5.3. Assume that $\varphi\colon X \to X$ has N Borel sections as in Proposition 2.2. Then the N isometries S_i of Proposition 2.2 can be written as

$$S_i = M_{\chi_{Y_i}} M_{u_i} C_\varphi a_\varphi = M_{\chi_{Y_i}} M_{u_i} \alpha(a_\varphi) C_\varphi = M_{\chi_{Y_i}} M_{u_i} M_{h\circ\varphi} C_\varphi,$$

where u_i are as in Proposition 2.1 for $\psi = \psi_i$ and $a_\varphi = M_h \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Therefore, the elements $\xi_i = M_{\chi_{Y_i}} M_{u_i} M_{h\circ\varphi} \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ define a basis for $L^\infty(X,\mu)_{\mathcal{L}}$.

There is also a converse of the above scheme that works at the level of $*$-homomorphisms. We would like to thank Philip Gipson for bringing this to our attention. If there is a Cuntz family $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X,\mu))$ that implements α then $S_i^* a S_i \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$ for all $i = 1,\dots,n$. This follows because $L^\infty(X,\mu)$ is a MASA, $S_i b = \alpha(b) S_i$, and

$$S_i^* a S_i \cdot b = S_i^* \alpha(b) a S_i = b \cdot S_i^* a S_i,$$

for all $b \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$. Furthermore $S_i S_i^*$ commutes with every $a \in L^\infty(X,\mu)$, thus the $*$-homomorphisms $\beta_i\colon L^\infty(X,\mu) \to L^\infty(X,\mu)$ given by $\beta_i(a) = S_i^* a S_i$ are n left inverses for α.

References

[1] J. Cuntz, *Simple C*-algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57:2** (1977), 173–185.

[2] D. Courtney, P.S. Muhly and W. Schmidt, *Composition Operators and Endomorphisms*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory **6:1** (2012), 163–188.

[3] R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^*-algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **23:6** (2003), 1733–1750.

[4] P. Gipson, *Invariant basis number for C*-algebras*, preprint (2014), arXiv:1407.4713.

[5] E. T.A. Kakariadis and E. G. Katsoulis, *Isomorphism invariants for multivariable C*-dynamics*, J. Noncomm. Geom. **8:3** (2014), 771–787.

[6] E.T.A. Kakariadis and J.R. Peters, *Representations of C*-dynamical systems implemented by Cuntz families*, Münster J. Math. **6** (2013), 383–411.

[7] M. Laca, *Endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and Cuntz algebras*, J. Operator Th. **30** (1993), 85–108.

[8] C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **210** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[9] V.M. Manuilov and E.V. Troitsky, *Hilbert C*-modules*, Translated from the 2001 Russian original by the authors. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 226. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[10] W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468.

[11] P.J. Stacey, *Crossed products of C*-algebras by *-endomorphisms*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, **54** (1993), 204–212.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK

E-mail address: evgenios.kakariadis@ncl.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, IA 50011, USA

E-mail address: peters@iastate.edu